

| | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 09/710,927 | Applicant(s) DUTTA ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Peng Ke | Art Unit 2174 | |

All Participants:

(1) Peng Ke.

(2) Rudolf O. Siegesmund.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 February 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 3, and 14-16

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner and the attorney discussed the amendments that would place the application in condition of allowance.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)